

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/627,321 | 07/27/2000 | Ludger Dinkelborg | SCH 1718 C1 | 2532 |
| 23599 | 7590 08/02/2002 | | | |
| MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | MICHENER, JENNIFER KOLB | |
| ARLINGTON, VA 22201 | | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1762 | <i></i> |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/02/2002 | Q |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | AS- |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Supplemental | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/627,321 | DINKELBORG ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Jennifer Kolb Michener | 1762 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a | appears on the cover sneet with | tne correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, its less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANI | be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 6 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 | 7 July 2000 . | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ | This action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the applicat | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd | rawn from consideration. | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami | ner. | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac | cepted or b)□ objected to by the | Examiner. |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to | the drawing(s) be held in abeyand | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on | | pproved by the Examiner. |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore | ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 | 19(a)-(d) or (f). |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received. | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received in Appl | lication No |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International* See the attached detailed Office action for a I | Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | • |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome | estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 | 19(e) (to a provisional application). |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome | • • | |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Info | nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office | Action Summary | Part of Paper No. 8 |

4

SUPPLEMENTAL DETAILED ACTION

The following new restriction requirement is made:

Supplemental Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-9 and 19-27, drawn to a method of coating a stent with an ionic radioactive isotope, classified in class 427, subclass 2.24.
 - II. Claims 10-14, drawn to a stent, classified in class 623, subclass 1.
 - III. Claims 15, 16, and 18, drawn to a method of coating a stent with a radiolabeled adhesive, classified in class 427, subclass 207.1 or 561.
 - IV. Claim 17, drawn to a method of coating a stent with gold and a thiol compound, classified in class 427, subclass 402 and 405.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can and is made by another and materially different process, namely immersion into a solution instead of using an adhesive.
- 3. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of

Application/Control Number: 09/627,321

Art Unit: 1762

operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and have different modes of operations, functions, or effects. Coating a stent with a radioactive isotope has different modes of operation, functions, and effects than coating a stent with a radio-labeled adhesive.

Page 3

- 4. Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and have different modes of operations, functions, or effects. Coating a stent with a radioactive isotope has different modes of operation, functions, and effects than coating a stent with gold and thiol.
- 5. Inventions III and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make a materially different product such as a radioactive fuel pellet or the product as claimed in claim 10 can be made by a materially different process such as coating the isotope on the stent using covalent "linker" groups or by coating at a temperature outside the range of claim 15.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/627,321

Art Unit: 1762

- 6. Inventions IV and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as one without the use of a thiol compound.
- 7. Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and have different modes of operations, functions, or effects. Coating a stent with a radioactive isotope and adhesive has different modes of operation, functions, and effects than coating a stent with gold and a thiol compound.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1762

- 10. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each of the Groups is not required for the others, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 11. Telephone calls were made to Anthony Zelano during the week of July 22nd to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Kolb Michener whose telephone number is 703-306-5462. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P. Beck can be reached on 703-308-2333. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 1762

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Jennifer Kolb Michener

July 30, 2002

SHRIVE P. BECK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700